arXiv:1603.01327v1 [math.RT] 4 Mar 2016

QUANTIZATION OF HITCHIN INTEGRABLE SYSTEM VIA POSITIVE CHARACTERISTIC

ROMAN BEZRUKAVNIKOV AND ROMAN TRAVKIN

Abstract. The main result of the seminal (unpublished) work of Beilinson-Drinfeld is the construction of an automorphic sheaf corresponding to a local system which carries the additional structure of an oper. This is achieved by quantizing the Hitchin intergrable system. In this note we show (in the case of $G = GL(n)$) that this result admits a short proof based on positive characteristic methods.

1. Introduction

Geometric Langlands duality predicts existence of an automorphic $\mathcal{D}$-module $\mathcal{M}_L$ on Bun_G attached to a (de Rham) ${}^LG$-local system L. Here G, ${}^LG$ are reductive groups dual in the sense of Langlands, Bun_G is the moduli stack of G-bundles on a complete smooth irreducible curve C and the local system L on C with structure group ${}^LG$ is assumed to be irreducible (i.e., it does not admit a reduction to a proper parabolic subgroup).

In their celebrated unpublished work [BD] Beilinson and Drinfeld explain that geometric Langlands duality can be thought of as a quantization of a natural duality for the Hitchin integrable systems associated to two Langlands dual groups G, ${}^LG$. Furthermore, they present a construction of $\mathcal{M}_L$ for a local system L which carries an additional structure of an *oper*, see [BDop] for an introduction to this notion. Their construction uses local to global arguments, it relies heavily on representation theory of affine Lie algebras at the critical level.

In this note we describe (for $G = GL_n$) a much shorter construction bypassing affine Lie algebra representations, relying on reduction to positive characteristic.

More precisely, we use the (easy) construction of automorphic $\mathcal{D}$-modules $\mathcal{M}_L$ for a generic local system L on a curve over a field of positive characteristic [BB]. We verify that under the generic geometric Langlands equivalence in positive characteristic of [BB] the free critically twisted $\mathcal{D}$-module corresponds to (the pushforward of) the structure sheaf of opers, this is deduced from a general observation relating the image of the free $\mathcal{D}$-module under the Hecke functor to opers presented in section 3 (this part of the argument is closely related to ideas of [BD]). This allows us to show that global sections of the sheaf of critically twisted $\mathcal{D}$-modules on Bun_n is a flat deformation of the ring of functions on the Hitchin base, by first doing it in positive characteristic and then deducing the general case by a standard argument. The construction of automorphic $\mathcal{D}$-modules corresponding to opers follows from this in view of the observation from section 3.

Let us mention that the theme started in [BB], where geometric Langlands duality was established for GL_n local systems with a smooth spectral curve has been developed in [Gr], where the case of not necessarily smooth spectral curves has been treated and [CZ], [CZ1] dealing with G local systems for $G \neq GL_n$. However, the present note is the first work (to the authors' knowledge) where this type of result is connected to the original setting of a characteristic zero base field.

We finish the Introduction with a technical remark. Below we use a general construction, the derived category of asymptotic $\mathcal{D}$-modules on stacks. Here by an asymptotic $\mathcal{D}$-module on a smooth algebraic variety X we mean a sheaf of modules over the sheaf of rings $D_\hbar(X)$, the sheaf of Rees algebras corresponding to the sheaf of filtered algebras $D(X)$. Thus $D_\hbar(X)$ is a flat sheaf of rings over polynomials in $\hbar$, such that $D_\hbar(X)/(\hbar-1) = D(X)$, $D_\hbar(X)/\hbar = \mathcal{O}(T^*X)$. We refer to [L] for a discussion of standard functors on the derived category of $D_\hbar$-modules. The proof of Proposition 3 relies on an extension of this theory to smooth algebraic stacks over a field of an arbitrary characteristic which does not seem to be documented in the literature.

The proof of Lemma 4 uses rudimentary theory of DG-stacks (the only DG-stacks appearing here are derived fiber products of ordinary stacks).

Acknowledgements. The first author would like to thank G. Laumon who several years ago has asked him if the result of [BB] can be applied to the characteristic zero setting. R.B. was supported by an NSF grant and a Simons Foundation Fellowship during preparation of this article.

2. Notations and statement of the main result

We mostly work over a field k of characteristic different from 2, we fix a complete curve C over k. Let $G = GL_n$, Bun will denote the moduli stack of rank n vector bundles over C; let Bun^d denote the component of parametrizing bundles of degree d.

Recall the stack $\underline{\mathrm{Bun}}$ with a map $\mathrm{Bun} \to \underline{\mathrm{Bun}}$ which is a $\mathbb{G}_m$-gerbe, [BB, §4.6]. The categories of coherent sheaves and $\mathcal{D}$-modules on $\underline{\mathrm{Bun}}$ and Bun are closely related, while $\underline{\mathrm{Bun}}$ has the advantage of being *good* in the sense of [BD]; we let $\underline{\mathrm{Bun}}^d \subset \underline{\mathrm{Bun}}$ be the image of Bun^d.

Let $\mathcal{D}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{Bun}}$ be the category of twisted $\mathcal{D}$-modules on Bun, where the class of the twisting equals half of the class corresponding to the canonical line bundle on Bun and similarly for $\underline{\mathrm{Bun}}$. Notice that a square root of the canonical line bundle on Bun is known to exist, thus this category is equivalent to the category of $\mathcal{D}$-modules on Bun, respectively $\underline{\mathrm{Bun}}$. Let $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{Bun}} \in \mathcal{D}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{Bun}}$ denote the sheaf of twisted differential operators with the same twisting. (Notice that $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{Bun}}$ is *not* a sheaf of rings on Bun, see [BD, Sect. 1.1.3].) Let also D_{Bun} be the derived category of $\mathcal{D}$-modules on Bun. Thus $\mathcal{D}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{Bun}}$ is the heart of the natural t-structure on D_{Bun}. We also use similar notations with Bun replaced by $\underline{\mathrm{Bun}}$.

Let Op denote the space of *marked* opers, see [BDop] for a general introduction to this notion, see also the definition (in the version we use) below before Corollary 5.

The main result of this note is the following

Theorem 1. (a) *For every $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have a canonical isomorphism $\Gamma(\underline{\mathrm{Bun}}^d, \mathcal{D}_{\underline{\mathrm{Bun}}}) \cong \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Op}})$.*

(b) *For a point $x \in \mathrm{Op}$ corresponding to a local system $\mathcal{L}_x$ the $\mathcal{D}$-module $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{Bun}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Op}}} k_x$ is a Hecke eigenmodule with respect to the local system $\mathcal{L}_x$.*

Our strategy is to first establish the result when k has prime characteristic using the result of [BB] and then formally deduce the characteristic zero case.

3. Hecke functor and filtrations

In this section we introduce a filtration on the image of the free $\mathcal{D}$-module under the Hecke functor. This is done uniformly in all characteristics by a direct argument independent of [BB]; the idea is close in spirit to [BD, §5.5].

We now recall the definition of the Hecke functor corresponding to the tautological representation of GL_n.

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be the stack parametrizing inclusions of vector bundles of rank n, $\mathcal{E}_1 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{E}_2$, whose cokernel is of length one. We define $q_1, q_2 : \mathcal{H} \to \mathrm{Bun}$ and $q_C : \mathcal{H} \to C$ by $q_i : (\mathcal{E}_1 \subset \mathcal{E}_2) \mapsto \mathcal{E}_i$ and $q_C : (\mathcal{E}_1 \subset \mathcal{E}_2) \mapsto x$ where x is determined by the short exact sequence $0 \to \mathcal{E}_1 \to \mathcal{E}_2 \to \mathcal{O}_x \to 0$.

We also let $\mathcal{H}_x = q_C^{-1}(x)$ and let q_1^x, q_2^x denote the restriction of q_1, q_2 to $\mathcal{H}_x$. Notice that both q_1^x and q_2^x are $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ bundles.

The following statement is standard.

Lemma 2. *The relative tangent bundles T_1, T_2 for the maps q_1^x, q_2^x admit a nondegenerate pairing $T_1 \times T_2 \to T_{C,x} = \Omega_{C,x}^*$.*

We let $\mathcal{D}_C$ denote the sheaf of twisted differential operators on C corresponding to the line bundle $\Omega_C^{\otimes n/2}$; here for odd n we use the choice of a square root of the canonical bundle Ω_C. We let D_C, $D_{\mathrm{Bun}\times C}$ denote the corresponding derived categories of twisted $\mathcal{D}$-modules.

Using the Lemma it is easy to see that the sum of pull-backs under q_1, q_2 and q_C of the above twisting classes equals the class of a line bundle (we will use a more precise information about this class below); thus we can define the *Hecke functor* $H = (q_2 \times q_C)_! q_1^* : D_{\mathrm{Bun}} \to D_{\mathrm{Bun}\times C}$.

Notice that we used smoothness of the Hecke stack to define the Hecke functor uniformly in all characteristics, a direct analogue of this definition for arbitrary reductive group G works for Hecke functors corresponding to minuscule coweights only.

Let $\mathcal{D}_{C\leq n}$ denote the term of the standard filtration by the order of a differential operator.

Proposition 3. *The object $H(\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{Bun}})$ lies in the abelian category $\mathcal{D}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{Bun}\times C}$. Furthermore, the $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{Bun}\times C}$ module $H(\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{Bun}})$ admits a canonical map c: $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{Bun}\times C} \to H(\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{Bun}})$, such that the restriction of c to $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{Bun}} \boxtimes (\mathcal{D}_C)_{<n}$ is an isomorphism of quasicoherent sheaves.*

Proof. The proof uses the category of "asymptotic" $\mathcal{D}$-modules $\mathcal{D}_h$ (cf. [L]). Recall that for a smooth variety X the sheaf of rings $\mathcal{D}_h(X)$ is obtained from the filtered sheaf of rings $\mathcal{D}(X)$ (differential operators on X) by the Rees construction. Thus $\mathcal{D}_h$ is a sheaf of graded rings on X with a central section h, such that $\mathcal{D}/h$ is isomorphic to the sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{T^*X}$, while the localization $\mathcal{D}_{(h)}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{D}(X)[h, h^{-1}]$, one then considers the (derived) category of sheaves of graded modules. A similar construction applies to twisted differential operators on stacks in the sense of [BD]. Notice that the subcategory of h-torsion free coherent asymptotic $\mathcal{D}$-modules is equivalent to the category of coherent $\mathcal{D}$-modules equipped with a good filtration.

The push-forward and pull-back functors are defined for "asymptotic" (twisted) $\mathcal{D}$-modules in a way compatible with the natural (derived) functor from the category of D_h modules to that of $\mathcal{D}$-modules (quotient by $h - 1$), see [L]. Moreover, as shown in *loc. cit.* the pull-back functor is compatible under the specialization at $h = 0$ with the functor between the derived categories of coherent sheaves on the cotangent bundles given by the natural correspondence; while the push-forward functor under a proper morphism $f : X \to Y$ is compatible with the functor given by the natural correspondence up to twist by the line bundle $K_X \otimes K_Y^{-1}$.

This theory can be generalized to smooth algebraic stacks. Notice that in the stack case the cotangent bundles and/or the relevant fiber products may have to be taken in the category of derived stacks.

We now proceed to spell this out in the present case. Let $\mathrm{Hitch} = T^*(\mathrm{Bun})$. Recall that Hitch is the stack parametrizing *Higgs fields*, i.e. pairs $(\mathcal{E}, \phi)$ where $\mathcal{E}$ is a rank n bundle on C and $\phi \in H^0(End(\mathcal{E}) \otimes \Omega_C)$.

Let $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{Hitch}}$ denote the *Hitchin Hecke stack* parametrizing triples $(\mathcal{E}_1 \subset \mathcal{E}_2, \phi)$ where $(\mathcal{E}_1 \subset \mathcal{E}_2) \in \mathcal{H}$ and $\phi \in H^0(End(\mathcal{E}_1) \otimes \Omega_C)$ satisfies $\phi : \mathcal{E}_2 \to \mathcal{E}_2 \otimes \Omega_C$. We have $pr_1, pr_2 : \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{Hitch}} \to \mathrm{Hitch}$,

$pr_i : (\mathcal{E}_1 \subset \mathcal{E}_2, \phi) \mapsto (\mathcal{E}_i, \phi|_{\mathcal{E}_i})$ and $pr_C : \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{Hitch}} \to T^*(C)$ sending $(\mathcal{E}_1 \subset \mathcal{E}_2, \phi)$ to (x, ξ) where x and ξ are determined by $\mathcal{O}_x \cong \mathcal{E}_1/\mathcal{E}_2$, $\phi - \xi \otimes Id_{\mathcal{E}_2} : \mathcal{E}_2 \to \mathcal{E}_1 \otimes \Omega_C$.

The free rank one $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{Bun}}$ module equipped with the standard filtration determines an object in the category of asymptotic $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{Bun}}$-modules on Bun which we denote by $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{Bun}}}$. Applying the Hecke functor $H_{asymp} = (q_2 \times q_C)_* q_1^*$ to this object (where H_{asymp} denotes the Hecke functor on the category of asymptotic $\mathcal{D}$-modules) we get an object $H(\widetilde{\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{Bun}}})$.

Using the above compatibility of pull-back and push-forward functors with the specialization at $h = 0$ and Lemma 2, one checks that the corresponding coherent sheaf $H(\widetilde{\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{Bun}}}) \otimes^{\mathrm{L}}_{k[h]} k$ is given by:

$$H(\widetilde{\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{Bun}}}) \overset{\mathrm{L}}{\underset{k[h]}{\otimes}} k \cong (pr_2 \times pr_C)_* pr_1^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Hitch}}). \tag{1}$$

Let $\tilde{C}_{\mathrm{univ}} \subset \mathrm{Hitch} \times T^*(C)$ be the *universal spectral curve*, i.e. $\tilde{C}_{\mathrm{univ}}$ parametrizes the data of $(\mathcal{E}, \phi; x, \xi)$ where $x \in C$, $\xi \in T^*(C)|_x$ such that $det(\phi|_x - \xi \otimes Id) = 0$.

Lemma 4. *We have* $(pr_2 \times pr_C)_* pr_1^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Hitch}}) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}_{\mathrm{univ}}}$.

Proof. Consider subvarieties $S \subset \mathfrak{gl}_n \times \mathbb{A}^1$ and $\tilde{S} \subset \mathfrak{gl}_n \times \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{A}^1$ given by: $S = \{(x, t) \mid det(x - t \cdot Id) = 0\}$, $\tilde{S} = \{(x, l, t) \mid x|_l = t \cdot Id\}$. Let $\pi : \tilde{S} \to \mathfrak{gl}_n \times \mathbb{A}^1$ be the natural projection. It is a standard fact that

$$\pi_*(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{S}}) \cong \mathcal{O}_S. \tag{2}$$

We have a natural "evaluation" map $\mathrm{Hitch} \times T^*(C) \to (\mathfrak{gl}_n \times \mathbb{A}^1)/(GL_n \times \mathbb{G}_m)$ (where GL_n acts on $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ by the adjoint action and $\mathbb{G}_m$ acts on $\mathbb{A}^1$ by dialtions) and we have natural isomorphisms:

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{Hitch}} \cong (S/(GL_n \times \mathbb{G}_m) \times_{(\mathfrak{gl}_n \times \mathbb{A}^1)/(GL_n \times \mathbb{G}_m)} (\mathrm{Hitch} \times T^*(C));$$
$$\tilde{C}_{\mathrm{univ}} \cong (\tilde{S}/(GL_n \times \mathbb{G}_m) \times_{(\mathfrak{gl}_n \times \mathbb{A}^1)/(GL_n \times \mathbb{G}_m)} (\mathrm{Hitch} \times T^*(C)).$$

Here both fiber products are understood in the derived stacks, thus both formulas are isomorphisms of DG-stacks.[1]

Thus base change isomorphism applies, so Lemma follows from (4). □

Remark 1. Using Koszul resolution one can write down an explicit sheaf of DG-algebras on the Cartesian product of the two factors in the displayed formulas above, such that its derived category of sheaves of modules is identified with the derived coherent sheaves category of the derived fiber product. Thus one can work with these categories without invoking the general theory of DG-stacks.

We are now ready to finish the proof of the Proposition. Recall that an object $\mathcal{M}$ in the derived category of asymptotic $\mathcal{D}$-modules on a stack X such that the induced object $M = \mathcal{M} \otimes^{\mathrm{L}}_{k[h]} k \in D^b(Coh^{\mathbb{G}_m}(T^*(X))$ lies in homological degree zero amounts to a $\mathcal{D}$-module with a good filtration whose associated graded is isomorphic to M. Thus comparing (1) with Lemma 4 we see that $H(\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{Bun}})$ is a $\mathcal{D}$-module with a good filtration whose associated graded is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}_{\mathrm{univ}}}$. Since the latter coherent sheaf is cyclic, we see that $H(D)$ is a cyclic $\mathcal{D}$-module with a canonical generator. Since the sheaf of regular functions on $\mathrm{Hitch} \times T^*(C)$ which have degree less than n along the fibers of projection $\mathrm{Hitch} \times T^*(C) \to \mathrm{Hitch} \times C$ maps isomorphically to $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}_{\mathrm{univ}}}$, the Proposition follows. □

[1] We do not know if this point is essential, i.e. if some of higher Tor's between the structure sheaves of the two factors over the structure sheaf of the base are nonzero. If this is not the case the isomorphisms can be understood as isomorphisms of ordinary stacks.

Recall the ring of twisted differential operators $\mathcal{D}_C$ introduced after Lemma 2. By an *oper* we will understand an $\mathcal{O}$-coherent $\mathcal{D}_C$ module $\mathcal{O}$ of rank n which has a good filtration whose associated graded is isomorphic $gr(\mathcal{D}_C)_{<n}$. Choosing a theta-characteristic (i.e. a square root of the cotangent bundle) we can identify the category of $\mathcal{D}_C$-modules with the category of $\mathcal{D}$-modules and connect this with the standard definition of a (*marked*) oper. It is standard that opers in this sense are parametrized by a variety which we will denote Op.

Corollary 5. *Assume that $M \in D^b(\mathcal{D}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{Bun}})$ satisfies the Hecke eigenproperty with respect to a local system $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{D}_C$-mod. Assume*[2] *that $\mathcal{L}$ has degree $(1-g)n(n-1)$ and does not admit an oper structure. Then*

$$\mathrm{RHom}_{\mathcal{D}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{Bun}}}(\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{Bun}}, M) = 0.$$

Proof. The proof will proceed by contradiction. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be the corresponding local system and set $V := \mathrm{RHom}_{\mathcal{D}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{Bun}}}(\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{Bun}}, M)$, thus $V \neq 0$ by assumption.

Consider $pr_{C*}(H(M))$, the sheaf direct image of the $\mathcal{D}$-module $H(M)$ under the projection $pr_C : \mathrm{Bun}_n \times C \to C$. Then the Hecke eigen-property of M shows that

$$pr_{C*}(H(M)) \cong V \otimes \mathcal{L}.$$

On the other hand, Proposition 3 shows that the object in the derived category of quasicoherent sheaves $\mathrm{oblv}^{\mathcal{D}}_{\mathcal{O}}(pr_{C*}(H(M)))$ satisfies:

$$\mathrm{oblv}^{\mathcal{D}}_{\mathcal{O}}(pr_{C*}(H(M))) \cong V \otimes (\mathcal{D}_C)_{<n}.$$

Comparing the two displayed isomorphisms we see that $\mathrm{oblv}^{\mathcal{D}}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{L})$ admits an injective map into a quasicoherent sheaf with a filtration whose associated graded is a sum $\Omega_C^{\otimes i}$ $(i = 0, \dots, n-1)$. Since an injective map between coherent sheaves on a curve having the same degree and the same generic rank has to be an isomorphism, we see that $\mathcal{L}$ has an oper structure. □

4. Proof of the main theorem in the case $\mathrm{char}\, k = p > 0$

It is easy to deduce the assertion of the theorem for k from the assertion for the algebraic closure of k, so we assume for simplicity that k is algebraically closed.

Recall that $\mathrm{Hitch} = T^*(\mathrm{Bun})$ and $\tilde{C}_{\mathrm{univ}}$ is the universal spectral curve. Let $h : \mathrm{Hitch} \to B$ be the Hitchin map and $\pi : \tilde{C}_{\mathrm{univ}} \to B$ be the projection. Let $B_r \supset B_s$ be the open subsets in the Hitchin base B parametrizing the points $x \in B$ such that the fiber $\pi^{-1}(x)$ is reduced, respectively, smooth.

In this section we assume that the base field k has prime characteristic p. Then $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{Bun}}$ can be thought of as a sheaf over $\mathrm{Hitch}^{(1)}$, where the superscript denotes the Frobenius twist.

Let Loc denote the moduli stack of $\mathcal{D}_C$-modules which are locally free of rank n as an $\mathcal{O}$-module. Recall [BB] that we have the *Frobenius-Hitchin* map $h_p : \mathrm{Loc} \to B^{(1)}$; for example, for $x \in B_s$ the fiber of h over x is the abelian algebraic group $Pic(\tilde{C}_x)$, while the fiber of π_p over $x^{(1)} \in B^{(1)}$ is the torsor over the abelian algebraic group $Pic(\tilde{C}_x)^{(1)}$ (here $x^{(1)}$ denotes the image of x under Frobenius).

We will need the following result proven in the Appendix.

[2]The first assumption holds automatically if $char(k) = 0$. If $char(k) = p > 0$, then $\deg(\mathcal{L}) = (1-g)n(n-1)$ mod p, and $\deg(\mathcal{L})$ is determined by the character by which $\mathbb{G}_m$ acts on the quasicoherent sheaf underlying M (where we use that Bun is a $\mathbb{G}_m$ gerbe over $\underline{\mathrm{Bun}}$). It is not hard to see that $\mathrm{RHom}_{\mathcal{D}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{Bun}}}(\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{Bun}}, M) = 0$ automatically unless $\deg(\mathcal{L}) = (1-g)n(n-1)$.

Proposition 6. *The composition* $\mathrm{Op} \to \mathrm{Loc} \xrightarrow{\pi_p} B^{(1)}$ *is a flat finite map of degree* p^d*, where* $d = \dim(\underline{\mathrm{Bun}})$.

Set $\mathrm{Hitch}_s = h^{-1}(B_s)$, $\mathrm{Loc}_s = \pi_p^{-1}(B_s^{(1)})$, $\mathrm{Op}_s = \mathrm{Op} \times_{B^{(1)}} B_s^{(1)}$, $(\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{Bun}})_s = \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{Bun}}|_{\mathrm{Hitch}_s^{(1)}}$, where in the last expression we use the same notation $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{Bun}}$ for the object in D_{Bun} and the corresponding sheaf on $\mathrm{Hitch}^{(1)}$.

Recall that the main result of [BB] is an equivalence[3]

$$D^b((\mathcal{D}_{\underline{\mathrm{Bun}}})_s\text{-mod}_{coh}) \cong D^b(Coh(\mathrm{Loc}_s)),$$

where -mod_{coh} stands for the category of coherent sheaves of modules. We let Φ denote that equivalence.

The first step in the proof of the Theorem is the following

Proposition 7. *We have* $\Phi((\mathcal{D}_{\underline{\mathrm{Bun}}})_s) \cong (\mathrm{Op}_s \to \mathrm{Loc}_s)_*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Op}_s})$.

Proof. It is easy to see that the tautological map from the space Op of marked opers to Loc is a composition of the map $\mathrm{Op} \to \mathrm{Op}/\mathbb{G}_m$ and a closed embedding $\mathrm{Op}/\mathbb{G}_m \to \mathrm{Loc}$, where we use the trivial action of $\mathbb{G}_m$ on Op. Thus the direct image of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Op}}$ to Loc decomposes as a direct sum indexed by characters of $\mathbb{G}_m$; we claim that the summand corresponding to the character $t \mapsto t^d$ is canonically isomorphic to $\Phi((\mathcal{D}_{\underline{\mathrm{Bun}}^d})_s))$.

It follows from Corollary 5 that the complex $\Phi((\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{Bun}})_s))$ is supported on $\mathrm{Op}_s/\mathbb{G}_m$.

From Proposition 6 we see that its support is finite over the base $B^{(1)}$. Since Fourier-Mukai transform is exact on sheaves with finite support, sending such a sheaf of length r into a vector bundle of rank r, we see that $\Phi((\mathcal{D}_{\underline{\mathrm{Bun}}^d})_s)$ is concentrated in homological degree zero; moreover, its pull-back to Op_s is flat of rank p^d as a module over $\mathcal{O}_{B_s^{(1)}}$.

We claim that $\Phi((\mathcal{D}_{\underline{\mathrm{Bun}}^d})_s)$ is scheme theoretically supported on $\mathrm{Op}_s/\mathbb{G}_m$.

First of all, $\Phi((\mathcal{D}_{\underline{\mathrm{Bun}}^d})_s)$ is torsion free as an $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{B}^{(1)})$ module. Thus it suffices to check this claim over the generic point of $\mathcal{B}^{(1)}$.

To see this notice that a coherent sheaf on Loc which is generically set theoretically but not scheme theoretically supported on $\mathrm{Op}/\mathbb{G}_m$ would need to have length greater than one at the generic point of Op. Then its direct image to $B^{(1)}$ would have generic rank greater than p^d, which contradicts the second paragraph of the proof.

Now, flatness of $\Phi((\mathcal{D}_{\underline{\mathrm{Bun}}^d})_s)$ over $\mathcal{O}_{B_s^{(1)}}$ implies it's a Cohen-Macaulay module over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Op}_s}$. Since Op_s is smooth, it is actually a locally free module, since its degree over $\mathcal{O}_{B_s^{(1)}}$ equals that of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Op}_s}$, we conclude that the pull-back of $\Phi((\mathcal{D}_{\underline{\mathrm{Bun}}^d})_s)$ to Op_s is a line bundle on Op_s. Since Op_s is an open subvariety in Op which is isomorphic to the affine space, every line bundle on Op_s is trivial. Since $\mathbb{G}_m$ acts by the character $t \mapsto t^d$ on the $\Phi(\mathcal{F})$ for $\mathcal{F}$ supported on $\underline{\mathrm{Bun}}^d$, we get the statement. □

The Proposition implies that for all $d \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$A_d := \Gamma(\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{Bun}^d}) \subset \Gamma((\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{Bun}^d})_s) \cong End((\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{Bun}^d})_s) \cong \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Op}_s}) \tag{3}$$

is a commutative algebra.

Fix some $d \in \mathbb{Z}$. Proposition 3 allows one to construct a family of opers on C parametrized by $Spec(A_d)$. The family can be described as a $\mathcal{D}_C$-module $\mathcal{F}^d_{\mathrm{univ}}$ with an A_d action and a filtration

[3]In fact, this is a version of the equivalence constructed in *loc. cit.*: there the stack *Bun* and ordinary $\mathcal{D}$-modules are considered instead of $\underline{\mathrm{Bun}}$ and twisted $\mathcal{D}$-modules. It is not hard to deduce that version from the result of *loc. cit.*

which is flat over A_d and such that $\mathcal{F}^d_{\mathrm{univ}} \otimes_{A_d} k_x$ is an oper for every $x \in Spec(A_d)$ and is constructed as follows. The sheaf $\mathcal{F}^d_{\mathrm{univ}}$ is the (sheaf theoretic) direct image to the second factor of the $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{Bun}^d}$-module $H(\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{Bun}^{d-1}})$, equipped with the natural A_d action and the filtration coming from Proposition 3. The A_d-action is defined by presenting $\mathcal{F}^d_{\mathrm{univ}}$ as the pushforward to C of the local Hom from $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{Bun}^d \times C} = \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{Bun}^d} \boxtimes \mathcal{D}_C$ to $H(\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{Bun}^{d-1}})$ and using A_d-action on the first argument.

Actually the definition of this action can be generalized to a functor $\Upsilon \colon \mathcal{D}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{Bun}^d \times C} \to (A_d \otimes \mathcal{D}_C)\text{-mod}$ given by pushforward to C, and $\mathcal{F}^d_{\mathrm{univ}} = \Upsilon(H(\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{Bun}^{d-1}}))$. Applying the functor Υ to the map c from Proposition 3, we get a map $\Upsilon(c) \colon \Upsilon(\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{Bun}^d \times C}) = A_d \otimes \mathcal{D}_C \to \mathcal{F}^d_{\mathrm{univ}}$ which restricts to an isomorphism of $A_d \otimes \mathcal{O}_C$-modules $A_d \otimes (\mathcal{D}_C)_{<n} \to \mathcal{F}^d_{\mathrm{univ}}$. From this it is straightforward to see that $\mathcal{F}^d_{\mathrm{univ}}$ defines an A_d-family of opers.

Thus we get a map $\Pi : Spec(A_d) \to \mathrm{Op}$. We will show that it is an isomorphism.

It is easy to deduce from the Hecke eigen-property for the equivalence Φ that base-change of Π from $B^{(1)}$ to $B^{(1)}_s$ coincides with the (dual of) isomorphism (3). From this we see that the composition

$$\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{Op}) \xrightarrow{\Pi^*} A_d \subset \Gamma((\mathcal{D}_{\underline{\mathrm{Bun}}^d})_s) \overset{\text{Prop. 7}}{\cong} \mathcal{O}(\mathrm{Op}_s)$$

is the natural inclusion. Thus A_d is isomorphic to subalgebra of $\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{Op}_s)$ containing $\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{Op})$. Since Op is normal (it is isomorphic to an affine space), it would suffice to show that A_d is finitely generated as a module over $\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{Op})$. We will in fact prove finite generation over a smaller algebra.

Lemma 8. *A_d is a finitely generated torsion free module over $\mathcal{O}(B^{(1)})$.*

Proof. Consider the filtration on $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{Bun}}$ and the induced one on A_d by degree of differential operator. Then we have $\operatorname{gr} \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{Bun}^d} = (T^*\mathrm{Bun}^d \to \mathrm{Bun}^d)_* \mathcal{O}_{T^*\mathrm{Bun}^d}$. The induced filtration on $\mathcal{O}(B^{(1)}) \hookrightarrow A_d$ coincides with the one coming from the grading on $\mathcal{O}(B^{(1)})$ multiplied by p, and the associated graded map to this embedding is dual to $\mathrm{Fr}_B \circ h \colon \mathrm{Hitch} \to B^{(1)}$. It is known that all global functions on $T^*\mathrm{Bun}^d$ are pullbacks from the Hitchin base, so we have $\Gamma(\operatorname{gr} \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{Bun}^d}) = \mathcal{O}(B)$. On the other hand, there is an inclusion $\operatorname{gr} A \hookrightarrow \Gamma(\operatorname{gr} \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{Bun}^d}) = \mathcal{O}(B)$. Thus $\operatorname{gr} A$ identifies with an $\mathcal{O}(B^{(1)})$-submodule in $\mathcal{O}(B)$, therefore it is finitely generated and torsion-free over $\mathcal{O}(B^{(1)})$. But then so is A_d, as desired. □

As explained above, the lemma shows that the map Π is an isomorphism, so that for any d we have a canonical isomorphism

$$A_d \cong A := \mathcal{O}(\mathrm{Op}).$$

It also follows that $\mathcal{F}^d_{\mathrm{univ}}$ are identified for all $d \in \mathbb{Z}$, so we write $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{univ}}$ for the sheaf isomorphic to all of them.

Lemma 9. *The map $c \colon \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{Bun}^d \times C} = \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{Bun}^d} \boxtimes \mathcal{D}_C \to H(\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{Bun}^{d-1}})$ from Proposition 3 intertwines the two A-actions coming from the A-action on $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{Bun}}$.*

Proof. Since both the source and the target are torsion-free as modules over $\mathcal{O}(B^{(1)}) \subset Z(\mathcal{D}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{Bun}})$ (where Z stands for the center of a category, i.e. the ring of endomorphisms of the identity functor), it is enough to show that the statement of the lemma holds after tensoring by $\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{Op}_s)$ over $\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{Op})$. The localized map c_s is a morphism in $(\mathcal{D}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{Bun} \times C})_s$, and we can apply Φ in the first factor. Then Proposition 7 and Hecke eigen-property of Φ imply that $\Phi(c)$ is a map of $(\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Loc}_s} \boxtimes \mathcal{D}_C)$-modules scheme-theoretically supported on $\mathrm{Op}_s/\mathbb{G}_m \times C \subset \mathrm{Loc}_s \times C$, and that the elements of $A = \mathcal{O}(\mathrm{Op})$ act by multiplication by the same functions on this support. □

4.1. **End of proof of Theorem A.1 in the positive characteristic case.** Thus we proved the first part of the theorem. The second statement of the theorem follows from the construction of the morphism Π. Indeed, we need to construct an isomorphism

$$H(\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{Bun}}) \cong \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{Bun}} \boxtimes_A \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{univ}},$$

where we used the following notation: if A is a k-algebra, X, Y are k-schemes (or stacks) and $\mathcal{F}$, respectively $\mathcal{G}$, are quasi-coherent sheaves on X, resp. Y, with a right, resp. left, A-actions, then we define a quasi-coherent sheaf on $X \times Y$: $\mathcal{F} \boxtimes_A \mathcal{G} := (\mathcal{F} \boxtimes \mathcal{G}) \otimes_{A \otimes A^{op}} A$, where the rightmost symbol A refers to the regular A-bimodule.

We will construct the isomorphism as above for each component Bun^d of Bun, so fix $d \in \mathbb{Z}$. Consider the functor $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{Bun}^d} \boxtimes_A -\colon (A \otimes \mathcal{D}_C)\text{-mod} \to \mathcal{D}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{Bun}^d \times C}$, which is the left adjoint to the "d'th component" of the functor Υ used above. So we have a counit map $a_M \colon \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{Bun}^d} \boxtimes_A \Upsilon(M) \to M$ for any $M \in \mathcal{D}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{Bun}^d \times C}$. We need to check that it is an isomorphism for $M = H(\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{Bun}^{d-1}})$. It is clear that if we apply the forgetful functor $\mathcal{D}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{Bun} \times C} \to \mathcal{D}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{Bun}^d \times C/C}$ to a_M then we will get the counit morphism for the similar adjunction between $\mathcal{D}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{Bun}^d \times C/C}$ and $(A \otimes \mathcal{O}_C)$-mod. Now since locally over C, $H(\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{Bun}^{d-1}})$ is isomorphic to $(\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{Bun}^d} \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_C)^{\oplus n}$ as a relative $\mathcal{D}$-module on $\mathrm{Bun}^d \times C$ over C, and our statement is local in C, it suffices to check for $M = \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{Bun}^d} \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_C$ where it is clear.

Now, to deduce Hecke eigen-property, we have to show that the constructed isomorphism commutes with the A-action, where the action on $H(\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{Bun}})$ comes by transport of structure from the A-action on $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{Bun}}$ and the action on $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{Bun}} \boxtimes_A \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{univ}}$ comes from either of the A-actions contracted by the tensor product. For this we consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{Bun}^d} \boxtimes \mathcal{D}_C & = & \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{Bun}^d} \boxtimes_A \Upsilon(\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{Bun}^d \times C}) & \xrightarrow[\sim]{a_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{Bun}^d \times C}}} & \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{Bun}^d \times C} \\
 & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{Bun}^d} \boxtimes_A \Upsilon(c)} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle c} \\
\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{Bun}^d} \boxtimes_A \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{univ}} & = & \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{Bun}^d} \boxtimes_A \Upsilon(H(\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{Bun}^{d-1}})) & \xrightarrow[\sim]{a_{H(\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{Bun}^{d-1}})}} & H(\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{Bun}^{d-1}}).
\end{array}$$

By Lemma 9, the vertical arrows in this diagram commute with the A-action. The top arrow commutes with the A-action because of the naturality of a_M. Hence the bottom arrow does, too, which is what we need.

What we just proved implies the following in-families version of Hecke eigen-property. The A-action on the $\mathcal{D}$-module $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{Bun}}$ allows to present it as a pushforward of a $(\operatorname{Spec} A = \mathrm{Op})$-family $\mathcal{M}$ of $\mathcal{D}$-modules. Applying to $\mathcal{M}$ the relative version of the Hecke functor H, we get a relative (twisted) $\mathcal{D}$-module on $\mathrm{Bun} \times \mathrm{Op} \times C$ over Op which we denote by $H_{\mathrm{Op}}(\mathcal{M})$. Then it follows from what we proved that $H_{\mathrm{Op}}(\mathcal{M}) \cong (\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Op}}) \otimes (\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Bun}} \boxtimes \mathcal{F}'_{\mathrm{univ}})$ where $\mathcal{F}'_{\mathrm{univ}}$ is the Op-family of opers constructed from $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{univ}}$. Taking pullback to a closed point x of Op, we see that the derived specialization of the family $\mathcal{M}$, $\mathcal{M}_x := L(\{x\} \times \mathrm{Bun} \to \mathrm{Op} \times \mathrm{Bun})^* \mathcal{M} = \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{Bun}} \otimes^{\mathrm{L}}_A k_x$ is a Hecke eigen-$\mathcal{D}$-module.

We want to show that $\mathcal{M}_x$ is actually in the heart of $D(\mathrm{Bun})$. In other words, we want to prove that $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{Bun}}$ is flat over A. Since the A-module $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{Bun}}$ is a (flat) deformation of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Hitch}}$ viewed as a module over $\mathcal{O}(B)$, this follows from flatness of the Hitchin map. □

5. Proof of the main theorem in the case $\operatorname{char} k = 0$

Considering the deformation of $\mathcal{O}_{T^*\mathrm{Bun}^d}$ to $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{Bun}^d}$ we get a spectral sequence

$$\Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{T^*\mathrm{Bun}^d}) = \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_B) \Rightarrow A_d.$$

Choose a finitely generated ring R with a homomorphism $R \to k$ and a complete curve C_R over R whose base change to k is isomorphic to C. We can form a similar spectral sequence starting from the moduli stack Bun_R for vector bundles over C_R. Its base change to a field of positive characteristic degenerates at E_1 by the result of the previous section. Hence the spectral sequence itself, as well as its base change to k, degenerates at E_1.

This implies that A_d is commutative for any d, since its base change to any residue field of R of almost every prime characteristic is commutative. Now the construction of the previous section yields a family of opers parametrized by $\mathrm{Spec}(A_d)$, given by $\Upsilon(H(\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{Bun}^{d-1}}))$ as before. Thus we get a map $\Pi\colon \mathrm{Spec}(A_d) \to \mathrm{Op}$ as explained in the previous section.

Since the base change of Π to a field of almost any prime characteristic is an isomorphism, we see that Π is an isomorphism. This proves the first part of Theorem A.1. One then proves an analogue of Lemma 9 in characteristic 0 by observing that it is enough to prove the statement for the reductions to finite residue fields of R. After that, the second part follows by the argument of the previous section.

Appendix A. Hitchin map and opers in characteristic p (by Roman Bezrukavnikov, Tsao-Hsien Chen, and Xinwen Zhu)

This appendix is devoted to the proof of the following statement (Proposition 6 of the main text):

Theorem A.1. *Let Op_G be the scheme of G-opers with marking (see §A.3). Then the composition*

$$\pi_p : \mathrm{Op}_G \to \mathrm{Loc}_G \xrightarrow{h_p} B^{(1)}$$

is finite and faithfully flat of degree $p^{\dim B}$. Here h_p is the p-Hitchin map.

Remark A.2. In the case $G = PGL_n$, the theorem above is a strengthening of a result of C. Pauly and K. Joshi [JP] who proved that the p-Hitchin map on the space of opers is finite.

A.1. **Notations.** Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic p. Let C be a complete smooth curve over k. Let G be a connected reductive algebraic group over k of rank l. We denote by $\mathfrak{g}$ the Lie algebras of G. We fix a Borel subgroup $B_G \subset G$, and let N be its unipotent radical and $T = B_G/N$. Let $Z(G)$ be the center of G. We denote by $G_{ad} = G/Z(G)$, $B_{ad} = B_G/Z(G)$ and $T_{ad} = T/Z(G)$. We denote the corresponding Lie algebras by $\mathfrak{b}$, $\mathfrak{n}$ and $\mathfrak{t}$. We assume that p does not divide the order of the Weyl group W of G.

A.2. **Hitchin map and p-Hitchin map.** In this subsection, we recall the definition of Hitchin and p-Hitchin map following [N, CZ1].

A.2.1. *Hitchin map.* Let $k[\mathfrak{g}]$ and $k[\mathfrak{t}]$ be the algebra of polynomial function on $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{t}$. By Chevalley's theorem, we have an isomorphism $k[\mathfrak{g}]^G \simeq k[\mathfrak{t}]^{\mathrm{W}}$. Moreover, $k[\mathfrak{t}]^{\mathrm{W}}$ is isomorphic to a polynomial ring of l variables $u_1, \ldots, u_l$ and each u_i is homogeneous in degree e_i. Let $\mathfrak{c} = \mathrm{Spec}(k[\mathfrak{t}]^W)$. Let

$$\chi : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{c}$$

be the map induced by $k[\mathfrak{c}] \simeq k[\mathfrak{g}]^G \hookrightarrow k[\mathfrak{g}]$. This is $G \times \mathbb{G}_m$-equivariant map where G acts trivially on $\mathfrak{c}$, and $\mathbb{G}_m$ acts on $\mathfrak{c}$ through the gradings on $k[\mathfrak{t}]^{\mathrm{W}}$. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be an invertible sheaf on C and $\mathcal{L}^\times$ be the corresponding $\mathbb{G}_m$-torsor. Let $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{L}} = \mathfrak{g} \times^{\mathbb{G}_m} \mathcal{L}^\times$ and $\mathfrak{c}_{\mathcal{L}} = \mathfrak{c} \times^{\mathbb{G}_m} \mathcal{L}^\times$ be the $\mathbb{G}_m$-twist of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{c}$ with respect to the natural $\mathbb{G}_m$-action.

Let $\mathrm{Higgs}_{G,\mathcal{L}} = \mathrm{Sect}(C, [\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{L}}/G])$ be the stack of section of $[\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{L}}/G]$ over C, i.e., for each k-scheme S the groupoid $\mathrm{Higgs}_{G,\mathcal{L}}(S)$ consists of maps over C:

$$h_{E,\phi} : C \times S \to [\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{L}}/G].$$

Equivalently, $\mathrm{Higgs}_{G,\mathcal{L}}(S)$ consists of a pair (E, ϕ) (called a Higgs bundle), where E is a G-torsor over $C \times S$ and ϕ is an element in $\Gamma(C \times S, \mathrm{ad}(E) \otimes \mathcal{L})$. If the group G is clear from the content, we simply write $\mathrm{Higgs}_{\mathcal{L}}$ for $\mathrm{Higgs}_{G,\mathcal{L}}$.

Let $B_{\mathcal{L}} = \mathrm{Sect}(C, \mathfrak{c}_{\mathcal{L}})$ be the scheme of sections of $\mathfrak{c}_{\mathcal{L}}$ over C, i.e., for each k-scheme S, $B_{\mathcal{L}}(S)$ is the set of sections over C

$$b : C \times S \to \mathfrak{c}_{\mathcal{L}}.$$

This is called the Hitchin base of G.

The natural G-invariant projection $\chi : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{c}$ induces a map

$$[\chi_{\mathcal{L}}] : [\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{L}}/G] \to \mathfrak{c}_{\mathcal{L}},$$

which in turn induces a natural map

$$h_{\mathcal{L}} : \mathrm{Higgs}_{\mathcal{L}} = \mathrm{Sect}(C, [\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{L}}/G]) \to \mathrm{Sect}(C, \mathfrak{c}_{\mathcal{L}}) = B_{\mathcal{L}}.$$

We call $h_{\mathcal{L}} : \mathrm{Higgs}_{\mathcal{L}} \to B_{\mathcal{L}}$ the Hitchin map associated to $\mathcal{L}$.

We are mostly interested in the case $\mathcal{L} = \omega$. For simplicity, from now on we denote $B = B_\omega$, $\mathrm{Higgs} = \mathrm{Higgs}_\omega$ and $h = h_\omega$, etc. We sometimes also write Higgs_G for Higgs to emphasize the group G.

We fix a square root $\kappa = \omega^{1/2}$ (called a theta characteristic of C). Recall that in this case, there is a section $\epsilon_\kappa : B \to \mathrm{Higgs}$ of $h : \mathrm{Higgs} \to B$, induced by the Kostant section $kos : \mathfrak{c} \to \mathfrak{g}$. Sometimes, we also call ϵ_κ the Kostant section of the Hitchin fibration.

A.2.2. *p-Hitchin map.* Let Loc_G be the stack of G-local system on C, i.e. for every scheme S over k, $\mathrm{Loc}_G(S)$ is the groupoid of all G-torsors E on $C \times S$ together with a connection $\nabla : T_{C\times S/S} \to \widetilde{T}_E$, here $\widetilde{T}_E$ is the Lie algebroid of infinitesimal symmetry of E. Recall the notion of p-curvature of a G-local system following [K, Bo]: For any $(E, \nabla) \in \mathrm{Loc}_G$ the p-curvature of ∇ is defined as

$$\Psi(\nabla) : F^* T_{C'} \to \mathrm{ad}(E), \quad v \to \nabla(v)^p - \nabla(v^p).$$

We regard $\Psi(\nabla)$ as an element $\Psi(\nabla) \in \Gamma(C, \mathrm{ad}(E) \otimes \omega^p)$ and call such a pair an F-Higgs field. The assignment $(E, \nabla) \to (E, \Psi(\nabla))$ defines a map $\Psi_G : \mathrm{Loc}_G \to \mathrm{Higgs}_{G,\omega^p}$. Combining this map with h_{ω^p}, we get a morphism from Loc_G to B_{ω^p}:

$$\tilde{h}_p : \mathrm{Loc}_G \to B_{\omega^p}.$$

Observe that the pullback along $F_C : C \to C^{(1)}$ induces a natural map $F^p : B^{(1)} \to B_{\omega^p}$, where the superscript denotes the Frobenius twist. By [CZ1, Theorem 3.1], the p-curvature morphism $\tilde{h}_p : \mathrm{Loc}_G \to B_{\omega^p}$ factors through a unique morphism

$$h_p : \mathrm{Loc}_G \to B^{(1)}.$$

We called this map the p-Hitchin map.

The construction of p-Hitchin map can be generalized to λ-connection. Recall that for any $\lambda \in k$, a λ-connection on a G-torsor E is an $\mathcal{O}_C$-linear map $\nabla_\lambda : T_C \to \widetilde{T}_E$ such that the composition $\sigma \circ \nabla_\lambda : T_C \to T_C$ is equal to $\lambda \cdot \mathrm{id}_{T_C}$ (where $\sigma : \widetilde{T}_E \to T_C$ is the natural projection). We denote by $\mathrm{Loc}_{G,\lambda}$ the stack of G-bundles on C with λ-connections. Then

$$\mathrm{Loc}_{G,1} = \mathrm{Loc}_G, \quad \mathrm{Loc}_{G,0} = \mathrm{Higgs}_G\,.$$

Let $(E,\nabla_\lambda)\in \mathrm{Loc}_{G,\lambda}$. The p-curvature of ∇_λ is defined as

$$\Psi(\nabla_\lambda): F^*T_{C'}\to \mathrm{ad}(E),\quad v\to \nabla_\lambda(v)^p-\lambda^{p-1}\nabla_\lambda(v^p).$$

The map $\mathrm{Loc}_{G,\lambda}\to B_{\omega^p},\ (E,\nabla_\lambda)\mapsto h_{\omega^p}(E,\Psi(\nabla_\lambda))$ factors through a unique map

$$h_{p,\lambda}:\mathrm{Loc}_{G,\lambda}\to B^{(1)},$$

called the p-Hitchin map for λ-connections. It is clear that $h_{p,1}=h_p$ and $h_{p,0}=F\circ h$, where $h:\mathrm{Higgs}\to B$ is the usual Hitchin map and $F:B\to B^{(1)}$ is the relative Frobenius of B. From this perspective, the p-Hitchin map can be regarded as a deformation of the usual Hitchin map.

A.3. **Opers with marking.** In this subsection we recall the definition of opers with *marking* following [B]. There is a canonical decreasing Lie algebra filtration $\{\mathfrak{g}^k\}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$

$$\cdots\supset\mathfrak{g}^{-1}\supset\mathfrak{g}^0\supset\mathfrak{g}^1\supset\cdots$$

such that $\mathfrak{g}^0=\mathfrak{b}$, $\mathfrak{g}^1=\mathfrak{n}$ and for any $k>0$ (resp. <0) weights of the action of $\mathfrak{t}=\mathrm{gr}^0(\mathfrak{g})$ on $\mathrm{gr}^k(\mathfrak{g})$ are sums of k simple positive (resp. negative) roots. In particular, we have $\mathrm{gr}^{-1}(\mathfrak{g})=\oplus\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$, where α is a simple negative root and $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ is the corresponding root space.

Let E be a B_G-torsor on C and E_G (resp. E_T) be the induced G-torsor (resp. T-torsor) on C. In this subsection, we denote by $\mathfrak{b}_E$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{E_G}=\mathfrak{g}_E$ be the associated adjoint bundles (rather than $\mathrm{ad}(E)$). Let $\widetilde{T}_E$ and $\widetilde{T}_{E_G}$ be the Lie algebroids of infinitesimal symmetries of E and E_G. There is a natural embedding $\widetilde{T}_E\to\widetilde{T}_{E_G}$ and we have a canonical isomorphism

$$\widetilde{T}_{E_G}/\widetilde{T}_E\simeq(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{b})_E=:E\times^B(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{b}).$$

For any connection ∇ on E_G, we denote by $\bar{\nabla}$ the composition

$$\bar{\nabla}:T_C\xrightarrow{\nabla}\widetilde{T}_{E_G}\to\widetilde{T}_{E_G}/\widetilde{T}_E\simeq(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{b})_E.$$

Definition A.3. We fix a square root $\kappa=\omega^{1/2}$ of the canonical bundle ω. A G-oper on C with marking is triple (E,∇,ϕ) where E is a B_G-torsor on C, ∇ is a connection on E_G, and $\phi:E_T\simeq\omega^{1/2}\times^{\mathbb{G}_m,2\rho}T$ is an isomorphism of T-torsor (we call ϕ the *marking*), such that

(1) The image of $\bar{\nabla}$ lands in $(\mathfrak{g}^{-1}/\mathfrak{b})_E\subset(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{b})_E$.
(2) The composition
$$T_C\xrightarrow{\bar{\nabla}}(\mathfrak{g}^{-1}/\mathfrak{b})_E\xrightarrow{pr_\alpha}(\mathfrak{g}_\alpha)_E$$
is an isomorphism for every simple negative root α. Here
$$pr_\alpha:(\mathfrak{g}^{-1}/\mathfrak{b})_E=\oplus(\mathfrak{g}_\beta)_E\to(\mathfrak{g}_\alpha)_E$$
is the natural projection.
(3) The condition (2) implies ∇ induces an isomorphism
$$E_T\times^T(\mathfrak{g}^1/\mathfrak{g}^2)\simeq\oplus_{i=1}^l(\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_i})_E\xrightarrow{\tilde{\phi}}\omega^{\oplus l}\simeq(\omega^{1/2}\times^{\mathbb{G}_m,2\check{\rho}}T)\times^T(\mathfrak{g}^1/\mathfrak{g}^2).$$
We require the marking ϕ is compatible with $\tilde{\phi}$.

Notice that if we drop condition (3) in above definition, then we obtain the definition of G-opers in [BD]. As shown in *loc. cit.*, a G-oper has $Z(G)$ as its automorphism group, the additional condition (3) eliminate these automorphisms (cf. [B, Proposition 2.1]). We denote by Op_G the scheme of G-opers with marking on C.

Remark A.4. When G is of adjoint type, there exits a unique marking ϕ compatible with $\tilde{\phi}$. Thus, in this case, the condition (3) is automatic. In general, the conditions (1) and (2) do not imply the existence of ϕ, hence we are limiting our collection of opers compared to [BD].

Example A.5. Consider the case $G = GL_n$. Then an oper with marking can be described in terms of vector bundles as follows: it consists of the data $(E, \{E_i\}_{i=1,\dots,n}, \nabla, \phi)$ where E is a rank n vector bundle on C, $E_1 \subset E_2 \subset \cdot\cdot \subset E_n = E$ is a complete flag, ∇ is a connection on E, and $\phi : E_1 \simeq \omega^{(n-1)/2}$ is an isomorphism, such that

(1) $\nabla(E_i) \subset E_{i+1} \otimes \omega$.

(2) For each i, the induces morphism $gr_i(E) \overset{gr_i(\nabla)}{\to} gr_{i+1}(E) \otimes \omega$ is an isomorphsim.

One defines a (G, λ)-oper with marking as before by replacing connection ∇ by λ-connection ∇_λ. We denote by $\mathrm{Op}_{G,\lambda}$ the scheme of (G, λ)-opers with marking. Clearly, $\mathrm{Op}_{G,1} = \mathrm{Op}_G$ and $\mathrm{Op}_{G,0} = B$.

All (G, λ)-opers with marking form a scheme, flat over $\mathbb{A}^1$, $\widetilde{\mathrm{Op}_G} \to \mathbb{A}^1$, such that the fiber of $\widetilde{\mathrm{Op}_G}$ over $\lambda \in \mathbb{A}^1(k)$ is $\mathrm{Op}_{G,\lambda}$. Moreover, there a $\mathbb{G}_m$-action on $\widetilde{\mathrm{Op}_G}$, given by $(E, \nabla) \mapsto (E, t\nabla)$ and the morphism $\widetilde{\mathrm{Op}_G} \to \mathbb{A}^1$ is $\mathbb{G}_m$-equivariant.

We have a forgetful map $\mathrm{Op}_{G,\lambda} \to \mathrm{LocSys}_{G,\lambda}$, $(E, \nabla_\lambda, \phi) \to (E_G, \nabla_\lambda)$ and one can check that

$$B = \mathrm{Op}_{G,0} \to \mathrm{Loc}_{G,0} = \mathrm{Hggs}_G \tag{A.1}$$

is the Kostant section ϵ_κ induced by $\kappa = \omega^{1/2}$.

The p-Hitchin map for λ-connections gives

$$\tilde{\pi}_p : \widetilde{\mathrm{Op}_G} \to B^{(1)} \times \mathbb{A}^1, \quad (E, \nabla_\lambda, \phi) \to (h_{p,\lambda}(E_G, \nabla_\lambda), \lambda).$$

The map $\tilde{\pi}_p$ is $\mathbb{G}_m$-equivariant where $\mathbb{G}_m$ acts diagonally on $B^{(1)} \times \mathbb{A}^1$. We denote by $\pi_{p,\lambda} : \mathrm{Op}_{G,\lambda} \to B^{(1)}$ the base change of $\tilde{\pi}_p$ to $\lambda \in \mathbb{A}^1(k)$. When $\lambda = 1$, we get a map

$$\pi_p := \pi_{p,1} : \mathrm{Op}_G \to \mathrm{Loc}_G \xrightarrow{h_p} B^{(1)}, \tag{A.2}$$

and (A.1) implies $\pi_{p,0} : B = \mathrm{Op}_{G,0} \to B^{(1)}$ is the relative Frobenius morphism $F : B \to B^{(1)}$.

Using the well-known identification of filtered k-algebras with graded flat $k[t]$-algebras (cf. [BD, §3.1.14]), the discussion above implies the following

Lemma A.6. *Let $\pi_p^* : R_{B^{(1)}} \to R_{\mathrm{Op}_G}$ be the map of ring of functions corresponding to $\pi_p : \mathrm{Op}_G \to B^{(1)}$. Then there are filtrations on R_{Op_G} and $R_{B^{(1)}}$ such that*

(1) *The associated graded $gr(R_{\mathrm{Op}_G}) \simeq R_B$ and $gr(R_{B^{(1)}}) \simeq R_{B^{(1)}}$.*

(2) *π_p^* is compatible with the filtrations.*

(3) *The induced morphism*

$$gr(\pi_p^*) : R_{B^{(1)}} \to R_B$$

is the relative Frobenius map.

A.4. **Proof of Theorem A.1.** Let $\pi_p : \mathrm{Op}_G \to B^{(1)}$ be the map in (A.2). We first show that π_p is finite and surjective. I.e., we need to show that $\pi_p^* : R_{B^{(1)}} \to R_{\mathrm{Op}_G}$ is injective and R_{Op_G} is finitely generated as an $R_{B^{(1)}}$-module. Since both rings R_{Op_G} and $R_{B^{(1)}}$ are filtered and π_p^* is compatible with the filtrations, it is enough to show that the associated graded map $gr(\pi_p^*) : gr(R_{B^{(1)}}) \to gr(R_{\mathrm{Op}_G})$ is injective and $gr(R_{\mathrm{Op}_G})$ is a finitely generated $gr(R_{B^{(1)}})$-module. But this is clear since by the lemma above $gr(\pi_p^*)$ is the Frobenius map. Now π_p is a finite map between

Op_G and $B^{(1)}$, which are smooth of the same dimension, and therefore it is flat. In addition, as the relative Frobenius map $B \to B^{(1)}$ is of degree $p^{\dim B}$, so is π_p.

Remark A.7. Lemma A.6 shows that the map $\pi_p : \mathrm{Op}_G \to B^{(1)}$ is a deformation of the Frobenius morphism $Fr : B \to B^{(1)}$. In the special case when $G = GL(1)$ it is not hard to see that one can identify $\mathrm{Op}_{GL(1)}$ with $B = H^0(\omega)$ so that the morphism π_p is identified with $Fr - \mathfrak{C}$ where $\mathfrak{C} : H^0(\omega) \to H^0(\omega)^{(1)}$ is the map induced by Cartier isomorphism. In particular, π_p is purely inseparable if and only if C is supersingular. It would be interesting to obtain a similar explicit description of the map π_p for nonabelian G.

References

[Bo] J.B. Bost: *Algebraic leaves of algebraic foliations over number fields*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Étud. Sci. No. 93 (2001), 161-221.

[B] J. Barlev: *Opers*, seminar note, available at http://www.math.harvard.edu/~gaitsgde/.

[BD] A. Beilinson, V. Drinfeld *Quantization of Hitchin integrable system*, preprint available at: http://www.math.uchicago.edu/~mitya/langlands/hitchin/BD-hitchin.pdf

[BDop] A. Beilinson, V. Drinfled, *Opers*, preprint arXiv:math/0501398.

[BB] R. Bezrukavnikov, A. Braverman, *Geometric Langlands correspondence for D-modules in prime characteristic: the GL(n) case,* Pure Appl. Math. Q. 3 (2007), no. 1, Special Issue: In honor of Robert D. MacPherson. Part 3, 153–179.

[CZ] T.H. Chen, X. Zhu *Geometric Langlands in prime characteristic,* preprint arXiv:1403.3981, accepted to publish in Compositio Math.

[CZ1] T.H. Chen, X. Zhu, *Non-abelian Hodge theory for algebraic curves in characteristic p,* Geometric and Functional Analysis **25** (2015), no 6, pp 1706–1733.

[JP] K. Joshi, C. Pauly: *Hitchin-Mochizuki morphism, Opers and Frobenius-destabilized vector bundles over curves*, arXiv:0912.3602.

[K] N. Katz: *Nilpotent connections and the Monodromy Theorem*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Étud. Sci. No. 39 (1970), 175-232.

[Gr] M. Groechenig, *Moduli of flat connections in positive characteristic,* preprint arXiv:1201.0741.

[L] G. Laumon, *Sur la catégorie dérivée des D-modules filtrés,* Algebraic geometry (Tokyo/Kyoto, 1982), 151–237, Lecture Notes in Math., 1016, Springer, Berlin, 1983.

[N] B.C. Ngô: *Le lemme fondamental pour les algèbres de Lie*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Étud. Sci. No. 111 (2010), 1-169.

R.B.: Department of Mathematics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge MA 02139, USA
bezrukav@math.mit.edu

R.T.: School of Mathematics, Institute for Advanced Study, 1 Einstein Dr., Princeton, NJ 08540 USA
travkin@alum.mit.edu

T.-H.C.: Department of Mathematics, Northwestern University, 2033 Sheridan Road, Evanston, 60208, USA
chenth@math.northwestern.edu

X.Z.: Department of Mathematics, California Institute of Technology, 1200 E. California Blvd., Pasadena, CA 91125, USA
xzhu@caltech.edu